

### **REMARKS**

The Office Action objected to claim 4 because of certain informalities and rejected claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regarded as the invention. However, the Office Action indicated that claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection and claim 4 would be allowed when the objected subject matter was corrected.

Applicant has amended claim 3 to recite that the fuel filler receptacle cap is operably attached to the fuel filler receptacle and "adapted to be" located in the center of the hood of the vehicle. Applicant has also amended claim 4 to recite that the fuel filler receptacle cap protrudes through the apertured "vehicle" hood. Accordingly, Applicant believes claims 3 and 4 are in condition for immediate allowance.

### **CONCLUSION**

Applicant has made a bona fide effort to respond to each and every requirement set forth in the Office Action. In the event that any issue remains outstanding, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney.

Applicant is of the opinion that no additional fees are due as the result of this reply. If any charges or credits are necessary to complete this communication, the Commissioner is authorized to charge same or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 23-3000.

Respectfully submitted,

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.

By   
John Paul Davis, Reg. No. 52,798

Application/Control No.: 10/796,828  
Response mailed March 28, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of June 27, 2005

2700 Carew Tower  
441 Vine Street  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
513 241 2324  
513 421 7269 (facsimile)  
K:\TRIND\11A\roa 062705.wpd